

USDA FOG ABATEMENT PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

SESSION 3



This training is sponsored by a grant from the USDA Rural Utilities Service (RUS)

This training is brought to you through a grant from the USDA Rural Utilities Service









This institution is an equal opportunity provider WWW.PPRC.ORG







About Us

Western States Alliance (WSA) is a project of the Pollution Prevention Resource Center that helps professionals identify and implement best practices in Fats, Oils, and Greases (FOG) management.

We are a membership organization of FOG professionals from across the United States. Click here to check out our staff bios on pprc.org.

Our vision is to be a comprehensive source of knowledge and assistance to advance technologies and best management practices, conserve resources, and derive the most value from FOG while prohibiting its damaging effects in the wastewater system.

WWW.WESTERNSTATESALLIANCE.ORG

TECHNICAL RESOURCES TAB

Conferences & Workshops We offer two FOG related technical training conferences each year: The FOG Forum & the Pacific Northwest Pretreatment Workshop. Both events offer immersive training, program development and implementation sessions, and many opportunities for networking with your peers.

FOG Abatement Training

With funding from the USDA, we provide both virtual and in-person trainings to help small rural communities and those who serve them. The trainings focus on building the business case for your program, program implementation and emerging chemicals of concern.

Training Calendar →

Our National Reference Resource Guide is a "one-stop" shop to learn about FOG, its value as a resource, its problems in sewer conveyance lines, its contribution to sanitary sewer overflows, its cost of treatment, and how you can establish or enhance a FOG Abatement program.

National Resource Reference Guide

View the Guide >

Subscribe to Our Mailing List:

Subscribe Below >



Subscribe

Click here to view our Nondiscrimination Statement



© 2023 by Western States Alliance







Tue, Apr 11 | Best Western Plus, Hood River Inn

2023 FOG Forum

Join us for a two day training and networking event focused on FOG (Fats, Oils, and Grease). The Forum is designed to inform and train wastewater professionals, restaurant owners, pretreatment professionals, plumbers and adjacent industries on the latest in FOG issues.

Time & Location

Apr 11, 8:30 AM – Apr 12, 4:30 PM Best Western Plus, Hood River Inn, 1108 E Marina Dr, Hood River, OR 97031, USA

About The Event

We are pleased to be offering the 2023 FOG Forum in a hybrid format so that it may be attended in-person or virtually (synchronous) via Zoom. The Forum will be held at the beautiful Hood River Inn, nestled on the banks of the Columbia River. Check out the venue by visiting their website <u>here!</u>



We encourage open discussion during the presentation...









TRAINERS:

Clayton Brown

Jude Brown

Patrick Bryan

Arjen DeHoop Ed Gilmore

Ken Grimm David James

Jean Waters





PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

SESSION 3

- YOUR EXISTING FOG PROGRAM
- ESTABLISHING LEGAL AUTHORITY
- STAKEHOLDERS
- FOG TRIAGE
- FOG MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
- FSE EFFECTIVE FOG PRETREATMENT

SESSION 4

- FOG AND WATER SEPARATION
- GREASE REMOVAL DEVICES (GRD)
- FSE INSPECTIONS
- PREFERRED PUMPER PROGRAMS





PATRICK BRYAN, PPRC FOG TRAINER AND TECHNICAL PROGRAM MANAGER

Stanislaus County, Hazardous Materials Inspector County Of Fresno, NPDES Inspector Municipal Interagency Training Coordinator

 EXPERIENCE SERVING AS A WASTEWATER AND STORM WATER INSPECTOR FROM THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA.

 BACKGROUND IN COMMERCIAL AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS PATRICK UNDERSTANDS THE DISCONNECT THAT CAN OCCUR BETWEEN THE COMMUNITIES WE SERVE SUCH AS FOOD SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT'S (FSES), OTHER REGULATORY INSPECTORS/PROGRAMS AND WITHIN OUR OWN AGENCIES.

BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS WITH INTERNAL DEPARTMENTS AND PRIVATE STAKEHOLDERS IS ESSENTIAL FOR A SUCCESSFUL FOG PROGRAM.

15

FOG HAPPENS





"We want a data-driven FOG program not an effort-driven Program" Gary Christensen, City of Seattle Public Works

What are our Desired Outcomes?

- Protect public health and the environment
- Cost effective, data driven program
- Comply with State and Federal Regulations
- FOG Program programmatic drivers







SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) DRIVER OUTCOMES & OUTPUTS

PROTECT PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT

- REDUCE/ELIMINATE FOG-RELATED SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO)
 - METRICS REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF SSOS
- REDUCE/ELIMINATE FOG CONTAMINATION IN STORMWATER
 - METRICS INCREASE IN NUMBER OF COVERED AND PLUMBED TRASH ENCLOSURES
 - METRICS REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF FOG-RELATED ILLICIT STORMWATER DISCHARGES











Poll Question

MEASURING FOG PROGRAM SUCCESS PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT



Inputs: What money and resources are needed?



Outcomes: What do we want to achieve?



Outputs: How do we know we're making progress?



Performance Measures:

Tracking progress to achieve funded activities



ULTIMATE FOG PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- NO SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs)
- NO FOG-BLOCKED SEWER LINES
- ENTIRE SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

22

- NO WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE PERMIT
 - **VIOLATIONS DUE TO FOG**
- ALL FSES ARE COMPLIANT

HOW DO WE HELP ACHIEVE THESE GOALS?

TYPICAL OUTCOMES & OUTPUTS

COST-EFFECTIVE, DATA DRIVEN PROGRAM

- REDUCE NON-ROUTINE COLLECTION SYSTEM CLEANING
 - METRICS REDUCTION IN THE LINEAL FEET OF FOG LINES ("HOT" OR "RED" LINES) CLEANED
- REDUCE NON-ROUTINE PUMP STATION CLEANING
 - METRICS REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF PUMP STATION SERVICES RELATED TO FOG ANNUALLY
- REDUCE NON-ROUTINE MANHOLE INSPECTIONS
 - METRICS REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF MANHOLE INSPECTIONS RELATED TO FOG ANNUALLY





INFRASTRUCTURE DRIVERS TO REDUCE FOG RELATED COSTS

- BLOCKAGES & OVERFLOW COSTS
- MAINTENANCE COSTS
 - COLLECTION SYSTEM
 - PUMP STATIONS, AIR RELIEF VALVES
 - MANHOLES
 - TREATMENT PLANTS
- INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGE
- TREATMENT PLANT OPERATION COSTS
- TREATMENT CAPACITY

USDA Rural Development





FOG PROGRAM PROGRAMMATIC DRIVERS

PROGRAM COSTS

- INSPECTIONS
- DATA MANAGEMENT
- OVERSIGHT

PUBLIC INTERACTIONS

EFFECTIVE FOR EXISTING FSE

ATTRACTIVE FOR NEW FSE







EXAMPLE OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR THE SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM

Performance Measures

		FY19	FY20	FY21
Indicators	These performance	Actual	Projected	Estimate
Input	measures are for the			
Wastewater Main Miles	ontiro colloction	245	250	270
Number of Connections	entire conection	22,000	22 500	23,000
Sewer Backups	system	134	160	165
	e yetem			
Output				
Manholes Repaired		14	10	10
Number of Lines Filmed		192	75	80
Quantity Filmed (Feet)	What % of these	31,192	2,000	3,000
Lines Repaired		26	36	40
Lines Located	performance	250	120	130
Manholes Inspected	moscuros can bo	664	220	230
Lines Cleaned	measures can be	36	60	70
Manholes Cleaned	attributed to FOG?	54	50	60
Cleanouts Repaired		4	10	20



ESTABLISH/ MODIFY LEGAL AUTHORITY

LEGAL AUTHORITY

- STATE AND/OR LOCAL PLUMBING CODES
 - UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE
 - INTERNATIONAL PLUMBING CODE
 - AMERICAN SOCIETY OF
 PLUMBING ENGINEERS
 PLUMBING ENGINEER
 DESIGN HANDBOOK, VOL



INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT LEGAL AUTORITY

- APPLIES TO ALL NON-DOMESTIC
 DISCHARGES
- NATIONAL PRETREATMENT REGULATIONS (40CFR PART 403)
- ORDINANCE EXAMPLES
- PROTECTION STILL REQUIRED FOR POTWS WITHOUT AN APPROVED PRETREATMENT PROGRAM





40 CFR Part 403

NONE = No revision ne

PROHIBITED DISCHARGES

15 Slug Load or Slug Luscuature			Similarity / Notes
16. Other definitions based on terms	405.0(1)(2)(1)	ş 1.4 IIII	
used in the POTW Ordinance			
		++	
		+	
D. National Drates atmost Standarda			
B. National Pretreatment Standards –			
Pronibited Discharges			
1. General Prohibitions	102 5()		
a. Interference	403.5(a)	§ 2.1A	
b. Pass Through	403.5(a)	§ 2.1A	
2. Specific Prohibitions [403.5(b)]			
a. Fire/Explosion Hazard (60° C or	403.5(b)(1)	§ 2.1B(1)	
140° F flashpoint)			
b. pH/Corrosion	403.5(b)(2)	§ 2.1B(2)	
c. Solid or Viscous/Obstruction	403.5(b)(3)	§ 2.1B(3)	
d. Flow Rate/Concentration	403.5(b)(4)	§ 2.1B(4)	A multice to All DOTIA/o
(BOD, etc.)			ADDIES TO AILPUT WS
e. Heat; exceeds 40° C (104°F)	403.5(1)(5)	§ 2.1B(5)	
f. Petroleum/Nonbiodegradable	403.5(b)(6)	§ 2.1B(6)	
Cutting/Mineral Oils			
g. Toxic Gases/Vapor/Fumes	403.5(b)(7)	§ 2.1B(,)	• nH>5.0
n. Trucked/Hauled Waste	403.5(b)(8)	§ 2.1B(8)	
			· No obstruction from
			 NO ODSTRUCTION TROM
			solid or viscous
			wastas
Office of Water			Wasles
EPA-833-B-07-001			
February 2007			 Trucked/hauled waste
1 coruary 2007			



0

LEGAL AUTHORITY NEEDED



FOG CONTROL ORDINANCE

- DEVELOP THE PROPER LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR A FOG CONTROL PROGRAM TO:
 - CONDITION OR PROHIBIT FOG DISCHARGES
 - REQUIRE GREASE REMOVAL DEVICES (GRD);
 - REQUIRE REGISTRATION AND/OR PERMITTING (OPTIONAL);
 - ESTABLISH MINIMUM PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS;
 - ESTABLISH DESIGN, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE STANDARDS;
 - PERFORM MONITORING, INSPECTIONS & ENFORCEMENT;
 - REQUIRE RECORDKEEPING, REPORTING & NOTIFICATIONS
 - REGULATE WASTE HAULERS AND DISPOSAL.



JURISDICTIONAL AND LEGAL AUTHORITY CHALLENGES

Overlapping Jurisdictions

There are three overlapping jurisdictions, each with its own code and authority:

- Plumbing Code (State or local)
- Pretreatment Program (NPDES)
- Public Health Authority







Jurisdictional Authority



Plumbing Code Amendment Example

- § 25-12-153 LOCAL AMENDMENTS TO THE UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE.
 - 1009.2 Approval. Austin Water approves the size, design, type, and location of each interceptor or separator. Except as otherwise specifically allowed by the City Code, wastes that do not require treatment or separation may not be discharged into any interceptor. A grease, sand, or other gravity interceptor must be field tested by applying a minimum of a one-inch water column above the lid seal of the interceptor.

APPROACHING ENFORCEMENT



USDA Rural Development

• Broad Authority

- Each application individual
- Time consuming and potentially expensive
- Administrative process lacking
- Situational
 - Re-inspection fee as needed
 - Cost Recovery for SSOs, Illicit discharges or Cleaning when source(s) identified
 - Non-conforming, illicit actions or construction
 - Repeated SSO, Illicit discharge, recalcitrant follow-up



Enforcement Options

Use existing municipal code

- Can you use municipal "Nuisance" code?
- Typically enforced by code enforcement officer using a "ticket"

Use Sewer Use Ordinance

- Enforcement Response Plan should describe
 - Warning Letters
 - Escalating Administrative Penalties
 - Orders (Show Cause, Consent, Compliance)

FF. *Wastewater*. Liquid and water-carried Pollutants from residential dwellings, commercial buildings, industrial and manufacturing facilities, and institutions, whether treated or untreated, which are contributed to the POTW.

SECTION 2-GENERAL SEWER USE REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 Prohibited Discharge Standards
 - A. General Prohibitions. No IU shall introduce or cause to be introduced into the POTW any Pollutant which causes Pass Through or Interference. These general prohibitions and the specific prohibitions in paragraph B. of this subsection apply to all IUs of the POTW whether or not they are subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards or any other National, State, or local Pretreatment Standards or Requirements.
 - B. Specific Prohibitions. No IU shall introduce or cause to be introduced into the POTW the following Pollutants:
 - Pollutants which create a fire or explosive hazard in the POTW, including, but not limited to, waste streams with a closed-cup flashpoint of less than 140 degrees F (60 degrees C) using the test methods specified in 40 CFR 261.21;
 - Wastewater having a pH less than 5.0 [Optional Upper pH Limit or more than X.X s.u.]², or otherwise causing corrosive structural damage to the POTW or equipment;
 - 3. Solid or viscous Pollutants in amounts which will cause obstruction of the flow in the POTW resulting in Interference. [Optional: Solid or viscous Pollutants shall not be discharged whole or ground by garbage grinders. This includes, but is not limited to ashes, cinders, sand, oil and grease from food service establishments, mud, straw, shavings, metal, glass, rags, feathers, tar, plastics, wood, underground garbage, paunch manure, hair and flesh, entrails, and paper dishes, cups, milk containers, etc.];
 - Pollutants, including oxygen-demanding pollutants (BOD, etc.), released in a discharge at a flow rate and/or pollutant concentration which, either singly or by interaction with other Pollutants, will cause Interference with the POTW;
 - 5. Heat in amounts which will inhibit biological activity in the POTW resulting in Interference, but in no case heat in such quantities that the temperature at the POTW Treatment Plant exceeds 40 °C (104 °F) unless the Approval Authority, upon request of the POTW, approves alternate temperature limits.





² The Pretreatment Regulations at 40 CFR 403.5(b)(2) establish a lower pH limit of 5.0, the POTW may establish an upper pH limit based on an evaluation of its collection system and treatment works. However, the establishment of an upper pH limit above 12.5 allows the discharge of characteristic hazardous waste and triggers reporting, as required by 40 CFR 403.12(p) and subsection 4.3 of this ordinance.







Poll Question



Ed Gilmore

11 years Restaurant Owner

20 years, Source Control Specialist, Clackamas County, Oregon Industrial Pretreatment, FOG, P2 and Septage programs

Currently Trainer, Western States Alliance, PPRC

Bachelor of Science, Biochemistry, Portland State University





Engaging Stakeholders





Stakeholders

- FSE's (small businesses, chains, schools, churches, hospitals, industrial campuses, institutional kitchens)
- Building, plumbing officials, plan reviewers
- Health inspectors
- Pumpers
- Contractors, builders, architects
- Landlords, property managers
- DEQ, EPA, Cities






Inventory and Survey of FSE's

- City Business License Listing
- Health Dept. FSE Licensing List
- Department of Agriculture Food Preparation Facility List (grocery)
- School District
- Retirement Facilities with Dining
- Extended Care Facilities
- Correctional Facilities







Food Service Establishments:

Do They Know...

The municipality has FOG requirements

They need have a Grease Removal Device (GRD)

They must maintain the GRD with regularly scheduled pump outs

They need to keep records of the pump outs

There is potential for enforcement action



For Developing FOG Programs or FOG Program Improvement Use a Phased Approach with Task Outlines







Status - Next Steps







Plan Review

WHAT IS THE CURRENT PLAN REVIEW PROCESS?

Are you or can you be part of the Review Process?

Grease Interceptor Sizing It Is Not Magic...









Poll Question





FOG Triage

- Identify the FOG Hot Spots
- Rate the FSE's FOG Production
- Create a Plan
 - Inspect the FSE
 - Effective Pretreatment
 - Effective Maintenance



















FOG Production

- Very High
 - High
 - Medium
 - Low













WSA

USDA Rural Development U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOG PRODUCTION





Kennedy/Jenks Consultants

Technical Memorandum No. 3

Clean Water Services 24 January 2011 Page 2

summarizes the FOG production measured at a range of FSEs in the service area, and projects the resulting potential supply of brown grease.

Sampling Approach

Brown grease samples were collected from the inlet bays of commercial grease interceptors at 53 establishments between August 31, 2010 and October 14, 2010. Samples were collected using a DIPTSTIK-PRO core sampler made for sampling grease interceptors, and samples were analyzed in the District's water quality laboratory. In addition to collecting the sample as described in Technical Memorandum No. 2, District staff recorded the duration of brown grease collection (from most recent pumping to sample collection) and the inlet bay dimensions. In addition, because the waste is generally stratified in the interceptors, staff also recorded the depths of the FOG), water, and solids layers in the inlet bay of the interceptor. Once collected, samples were analyzed for total solids content, volatile solids content, and chemical oxygen demand (COD). Of the 53 samples collected, 51 were analyzed for inclusion in the study. Two samples were excluded from the analysis based on the recommendations of District staff.

Table 1 shows the facilities included in the brown grease sampling effort, along with their initial classification as a very high, high, medium, or low brown grease-producing establishment. These classifications were established for a range of FSEs (café, diner, fast food, hotel, etc.) based on the experience and observations of the District's inspection staff. A detailed account of facility classification is included in Technical Memorandum No. 1.

Table 1: FSEs Included in FOG Sampling Program

Establishment	Estimated Grease Production
Kentucky Fried Chicken #349	Very High
Kentucky Fried Chicken #343	Very High
Elmer's - 1250 NW Waterhouse	Very High
Bugatti's – 2950 SW Cedar Hills	Very High
Outback – 8660 SW Tualatin-Sherwood Rd	Very High
Romanos – 17003 SW 72 nd	Very High
Bugatti's – 2	Very High
Pastini	Very High
El Pollo Loco	Very High
Olive Garden	Very High
Sanchez Taqueria	Very High
Famous Dave's	Very High
McDonalds #17473-1	High
Sonic Drive-In	High
Jack in the Box #7114	High
Panda Express – 1	High

Technical Memorandum No. 3

Clean Water Services 24 January 2011 Page 3

Establishment	Estimated Grease Production				
Burger King	High				
Red Robin #081	High				
Baja Fresh – Cedar Hills	High				
Chipotle – Cedar Hills	High				
Wendy's – Baseline Rd.	High				
Shari's – Murray Blvd.	High				
Denny's – Scholl's Ferry	High				
Panda Express -2	High				
Applebees	High				
Village Inn	High				
Sonic Drive-In – 2	High				
Carl's Jr.	High				
Chevy's	High				
IHOP	High				
Muchas Gracias – 1	High				
Panda Express – 3	High				
Noah's Bagels	High				
Muchas Gracias – 2	High				
McDonald's #26244	High				
Taco Bell #2403	High				
Noodles & Company	High				
Fred Meyer	High				
Panda Express – 4	High				
Marie Calendar's	High				
Krispy Kreme	High				
Wendy's - 2	High				
Bales Thriftway Meat/Seafood	High				
Bales Thriftway Deli/Bakery	High				
PF Chang's	High				
Safeway	High				
La Isla Bonita	High				
Reedville Café	Medium				
Hot Seat	Medium				
Papa Murphy's Pizza	Low				

Sampling Results

Detailed results of the restaurant sampling are included in Appendix A as provided by the District's laboratory. Results include duplicate and spike samples where appropriate.

Kennedy-Jenks

1896 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Flombe	250 E Main ST
1897 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Lily Restaurant	
1898 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Princess - 12540 SW Main Tigard	12540 SW Main , # 150
1899 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thong Thai Restaurant	11705 SW Pacific HWY
1900 High	High	Thai restaurant	Pac Thai	1923 Pacific Ave
1901 High	High	Thai restaurant	Pacific Breeze	11525 SW Durham Rd Suite D-3
1902 High	High	Thai restaurant	Sawatdee Thai	20649 SW Roy Rogers Rd # 304
1903 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Bistro	8292 SW Nyberg St
1904 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Cabin	16165 SW Regatta Dr
1905 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Derm	3800 SW Cedar Hills Blvd
1906 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Elephant	2225 NW Allie Ave
1907 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Kitchen	2840 SW Cedar Hills Blvd
1908 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Lily	13490 NW Cornell Rd
1909 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Orchid	18070 NW Evergreen Pkwy
1910 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Princess	2401 NW Cornell Rd
1911 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thailand Rest	15915 NW Schendel Ave
1912 High	High	Thai restaurant	Zab Thai	530 SW 205th Ave
1913 High	High	Thai restaurant	Roses Thai /	6850 SW Bverton Hillsdale
1914 High	High	Thai restaurant	Siam Restaurant / Siam Thai Cuisine	3800 SW Cedar Hills Blvd
1915 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Orchid Restaurant /	4550 NE Cornell Rd
1916 High	High	Thai restaurant	Pepper Tree Thai Cuisine /	13080 SW Park Way
1917 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Cuisine /	7985 SW Nyberg St
1918 High	High	Thai restaurant	Zab Thai Cooking /	520 SW 205th Ave
1919 High	High	Thai restaurant	Santaweesuk, Chalermsra / Thai Apsara Restaurant	11793 SW Beaverton
1920 High	High	Thai restaurant	Rama Thai Restaurant /	12874 SW Canyon Rd
1921 High	High	Thai restaurant	Thai Imports /	12646 SW Walker Rd
1922 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Binh Minh	11945 SW Pacific HWY
1923 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Hoai Restaurant	
1924 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Hung Restaurant	13225 SW CANYON RD
1925 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Nam Restaurant	
1926 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Nguyen	
1927 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Ty	
1928 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Van Beaverton Town Square	
1929 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Bambuza Vietnam Grill	7628 SW Nyberg Rd
1930 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Hoai	733 SW 185th Ave
1931 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Ty	16068 SW Tualatin Sherwood Rd
1932 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Viet Restaurant	930 SE Oak St.
1933 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Sweet Lemon	4888 NW Bethany Blvd Suite K6
1934 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Pho Van Vietnamese Noodle Soup /	11651 SW Beavrtn Hillsdle
1935 Medium	Medium	Vietnamese restaurant	Nam Pho /	2020 NE Cornell Rd Ste A





Kennedy-Jenks

		Revised			
No.	Initial Designat	Designation	Restaurant Type	FSE	FSE_address
1	Medium	Medium	Pizzeria, chain	Pizza Hut	4105 SW 117th Ave, Suite B
2	? Medium	Medium	Pizzeria, chain	Pizza Hut	6047 SW 185th Ave
1	High	Medium	American restaurant	Giant	15840 Boones Ferry Rd
2	? High	Medium	American restaurant	Stanfords	14801 Kruse Wy
	8 High	Medium	American restaurant	22nd Street Station	2337 22Nd AVE
4	High	Medium	American restaurant	Beaverton Charburger	6050 SW Hall BLVD
Ę	5 High	Medium	American restaurant	Boston Market	8665 SW Tualatin Sherwood RD
6	6 High	Medium	American restaurant	Coleman's 9N Shady Rest	55660 NW WILSON RIVER HWY
7	' High	Medium	American restaurant	Copper Monkey	6540 SW FALLBROOK PL
٤	8 High	Medium	American restaurant	Crossroads Restaurant and Bar	9000 SW Washington SQ
5) High	Medium	American restaurant	Dolphin II Restaurant	10860 SW Beaverton Hillsdale HWY
10) High	Medium	American restaurant	Fuddrucker's Restaurant	
11	High	Medium	American restaurant	Good Dog Bad Dog	9633 SW Washington Square RD
12	? High	Medium	American restaurant	Grandma Leeth's LLC	
13	8 High	Medium	American restaurant	Hot Dog Man	
14	High	Medium	American restaurant	Joe's Burgers	7455 SW Bridgeport RD
15	5 High	Medium	American restaurant	King's Restaurant	12800 SW Canyon RD
16	6 High	High	American restaurant	Stanford's Restaurant - 2770 NW 188th Ave	2770 NW 188th Ave
17	7 High	Medium	American restaurant	Sunset Humdinger	812 NW Murray BLVD
18	8 High	High	American restaurant	Applebee's	8559 Tualatin Sherwood Rd
19) High	Medium	American restaurant	Banning's	11477 SW Pacific Hwy
20) High	Medium	American restaurant	Alchemy on Main	250 E Main St
21	High	Medium	American restaurant	Amy's Burger Shack	7568 SW Shaleen St
22	? High	Medium	American restaurant	Entrees Made Easy	7310 NE Butler Rd
23	8 High	Medium	American restaurant	Five Guys	2606 SW Cedar Hills Blvd
24	High	Medium	American restaurant	Grandma Leeths	10122 SW Parkway
25	High	Medium	American restaurant	Jordy's	2104 Main St
26	6 High	Medium	American restaurant	Ruby Tuesday	14550 SW Murray-Scholls Dr
27	/ High	Medium	American Restaurant	Sports Page	8590 SW Hall Blvd
28	8 High	High	American restaurant	Stanfords	2770 NE 188th Ave
29	High	High	American restaurant	TGI Friday	10145 SW Washington Square Rd
30) High	Medium	American restaurant	Village Inn	17070 SW 72nd Ave
31	High	Medium	American restaurant	HI Hat Inc /	11530 SW Pacific Hwy
32	? High	Medium	American restaurant	Klondike Restaurant & Saloon /	2461 NW Schmidt Way 303
33	8 High	Medium	American restaurant	Chiam Inc / Chiam Restaurant	826 NW Murray Blvd
34	High	Medium	American restaurant	George's Giant Hamburger Inc /	11640 SW Pacific Hwy
35	5 high	Medium	American restaurant	Sun Rice /	13747 SW Liden Dr





FOCUS YOUR EFFORT "TRIAGE" TO ACHIEVE A SUCCESSFUL FOG PROGRAM

- PERFORMANCE GOALS
 - HOT SPOTS & HIGH AND VERY HIGH FOG FSEs
- PLAN REVIEW
- PUMP STATIONS, BLOCKAGES
- SSOs

USDA Rural Development

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

- OUTREACH EFFORTS
 - MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING
 - FOG LINES RESIDENTIAL AREAS





- CURRENT, ALL FSES / YEAR
 - INITIAL INSPECTIONS, STATUS
 - ANNUAL INSPECTION, 1 PUMP OUT
 - INSPECTIONS SIMILAR FOR ALL FSES

PRIORITIZED INSPECTION

- 15-20% OF FSE
- RESULTS ORIENTED INSPECTIONS
 AND FOLLOW-UP
- TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO FSE
- CONSISTENT INSPECTOR TRAINING, BY JURISDICTION
- PROGRAM SUPPORT BY JURISDICTION
- EXPECTATIONS SPECIFIC BY EACH JURISDICTION

PERFORMANCE GOALS







NOT ALL FSES GENERATE THE SAME FOG LOAD



USDA Rural Development

S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE





Portland FOG Calculator

- FSE sewer rates did not account for impact
- SIU's and homeowners bore brunt of burden
- City Council wanted solution that gave businesses opportunity to reduce ESC
- Calculator provides those options
- Works with businesses that may fit multiple categories
- Program currently in review
 - Rates may change
 - Interceptor language will change





Cut Through the FOG Rate Calculator						
		Fiscal Ye	ear 2019/20	Rates		
		BOD Rate	\$/Lb	0.831		
Account Number		TSS Rate	\$/Lb	1.096		
	Sewer Vo	olume/Usage i	n CCF 1			
			ESSC		BOD	TSS
	BOD	TSS	Rate	Flow %	Charge	Charge
	mg/L	mg/L	\$/CCF	%	\$	\$
Restaurant, Sit Down.				2		
Base, No BMPs	1200	500	\$5.695		\$0	\$0
with Grease Trap (GT)	1140	475	\$5.213		\$0	\$0
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	1080	450	\$4.731		\$0	\$0
no Grinders	1164	485	\$5.406		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & GT	1104	460	\$4.924		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & GI	1044	435	\$4.441		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1116	465	\$5.020		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	1056	440	\$4.538		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	996	415	\$4.056		\$0	\$0
Restaurant, Fast Food						
Base, No BMPs	550	450	\$1.981		\$0	\$0
with Grease Trap (GT)	523	428	\$1.685		\$0	\$0
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	495	405	\$1.388		\$0	\$0
no Grinders	539	441	\$1.863		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & GT	512	419	\$1.566		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & Gl	484	396	\$1.269		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	528	432	\$1.744		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	501	410	\$1.447		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	473	387	\$1.151		\$0	\$0
Supermarket, with bakery, meat cutting, deli,	produce,	or seafood	AT 0.4.4		^	
Base, NO BMPs	1050	650	\$5.944		\$0	\$0
with Grease Trap (GT)	998	618	\$5.449		\$0	\$0
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	945	585	\$4.954		\$0	\$0
no Grinders	1019	631	\$5.647		\$U	\$0
no Grinders & G I	966	598	\$5.152		\$U	\$U
Food Compositing/Denotion, no Crindero	914	505	\$4.657		\$0 \$0	\$U \$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	9//	605	\$0.201 \$4.750		\$0 \$0	
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & G	924	572	\$4.755		\$U \$0	0¢
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & Gr	012	540	φ 4 .202		φU	φυ
Brew Pub						
Base. No BMPs	1700	540	\$8.563		\$0	\$0
with Grease Trap (GT)	1658	527	\$8.250		\$0	\$0
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	1615	513	\$7.937		\$0	\$0
no Grinders	1683	535	\$8.438		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & GT	1649	524	\$8.188		\$0	\$0
no Grinders & GI	1607	510	\$7.875		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1624	516	\$8.000		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	1581	502	\$7.687		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	1539	483	\$7.337		\$0	\$0
Meat Market						
Base, No BMPs	1100	500	\$5.177		\$0	\$0
with Grease Trap (GT)	770	350	\$2.438		\$0	
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	440	200	\$0.726		\$0	
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1056	480	\$4.812		\$0	\$0
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	726	330	\$2.210		\$0	
⊢ood Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	396	180	\$0.498		\$0	

PORTLAND FOG CALCULATOR

Meat Market								
Base, No BMPs	1100	500	\$5.177		\$0	\$0		
with Grease Trap (GT)	770	350	\$2,438		\$0			
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	440	200	\$0.726		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1056	480	\$4,812		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	726	220	\$2.210		φ0 \$0	ψŪ		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	726	330	\$2.210		Ф О			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & Gi	396	180	\$0.498		\$0			
Bakery, bread								
Base No BMPs	1400	1100	\$10,838		\$0	\$0		
with Grosso Trap (GT)	1330	1045	\$10,000		00	¢0		
with Grease Intercenter (CI)	1000	1045	\$10.035		φ0 ©0	φ0 ¢0		
With Grease Interceptor (GI)	1260	990	\$9.360		\$U	\$U		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1344	1056	\$10.247		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	1274	1001	\$9.507		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & Gl	1204	946	\$8.768		\$0	\$0		
Bakery confections cake pie cookies								
Base No BMPs	2300	900	\$1/ 139		02	\$0		
with Greace Tran (GT)	2195	955	\$12.025		90	φ0 ¢0		
with Grease Intercenter (CI)	2100	810	¢10.200		φU	φU #0		
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	2070	810	\$12.330		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	2208	864	\$13.416		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	2093	819	\$12.511		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & Gl	1978	774	\$11.606		\$0	\$0		
Doput Shop								
Base No BMDs	1200	250	\$4,660		¢0			
Base, NO BIMPS	1200	350	\$4.669		\$U			
with Grease Trap (GT)	1140	333	\$4.358		\$0			
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	1080	315	\$4.047		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	1152	336	\$4.420		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	1092	319	\$4.109		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	1032	301	\$3.798		\$0			
Coffee Shop			00.504					
Base, No BMPs	800	350	\$2.594		\$0			
with Grease Trap (GT)	760	333	\$2.386		\$0			
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	720	315	\$2.179		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	768	336	\$2.428		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	728	319	\$2.220		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	688	301	\$2.013		\$0			
	L							
Commercial Kitchens, cafeterias, caterers, co	mmissarie	es						
Base, No BMPs	1100	400	\$4.492		\$0	\$0		
with Grease Trap (GT)	1045	380	\$4.070		\$0	\$0		
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	990	360	\$3.648		\$0	\$0		
no Grinders	1067	388	\$4.239		\$0	\$0		
no Grinders & GT	1012	368	\$3.817		\$0	\$0		
no Grinders & Gl	957	348	\$3,408		\$0			
Food Composting/Donation no Grinders	1023	372	\$3,901		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	968	352	\$3 479		\$0	00 \$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	913	332	\$3,180		\$0	ψU		
		502	,		ΨŪ			
Hotel, with full service restaurants and/or ban	quet kitch	ens.						
Base, No BMPs	500	400	\$1.380		\$0	\$0		
with Grease Trap (GT)	475	380	\$1.113		\$0	\$0		
with Grease Interceptor (GI)	450	360	\$0.847		\$0	\$0		
no Grinders	485	388	\$1,220		\$0	\$0		
no Grinders & GT	460	368	\$0.953		\$0	\$0 \$0		
no Grinders & Gl	400	349	\$0.700		09	ψŪ		
Food Composing/Donation in Original	405	270	\$0.700		φ υ	**		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders	465	372	\$1.007		\$U	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GT	440	352	\$0.740		\$0	\$0		
Food Composting/Donation, no Grinders & GI	415	332	\$0.597		\$0			
			I otal %	0				
		Net Bio O	xvgen Dem	and	\$0			
		Net Total	Suspended	Solide	\$0			
Inter Total Suspended Solida								
		and the second s				-		

PORTLAND INSPECTION RESULTS OVER 5 YEARS

Food Service Establishments (FSEs)



USDA U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PORTLAND'S INSPECTION RESULTS OVER 9 YEARS

Fixture Status of Food Service Establishments (FSEs) with Grease Removal Devices (GRDs)



Not All Fixtures Plumbed to GRD or fixture plumb status is unknown Confirmed that all fotures are plumbed to GRD











Poll Question

PATRICK BRYAN, PPRC FOG TRAINER AND TECHNICAL PROGRAM MANAGER

Stanislaus County, Hazardous Materials Inspector County Of Fresno, NPDES Inspector Municipal Interagency Training Coordinator

 EXPERIENCE SERVING AS A WASTEWATER AND STORM WATER INSPECTOR FROM THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, CALIFORNIA.

 BACKGROUND IN COMMERCIAL AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS PATRICK UNDERSTANDS THE DISCONNECT THAT CAN OCCUR BETWEEN THE COMMUNITIES WE SERVE SUCH AS FOOD SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT'S (FSES), OTHER REGULATORY INSPECTORS/PROGRAMS AND WITHIN OUR OWN AGENCIES.

BUILDING RELATIONSHIPS WITH INTERNAL DEPARTMENTS AND PRIVATE STAKEHOLDERS IS ESSENTIAL FOR A SUCCESSFUL FOG PROGRAM.

Best Management Practices in the Kitchen

Best Kitchen Practices

A Myth that Must Be Busted!







"Effective Kitchen BMPs Keep FOG Out of Public Sewers"

In A Perfect World ...

BMPs such as scraping/wiping all utensils and plates into garbage keeps FOG out of drains







Kitchen BMPs

In The Real World ...

What really happens:

- Cleanup staff are in a hurry
- Fastest way to clean pots is with high pressure water
- Hose down the floor and squeegee to the nearest floor drain





... frequently not an effective tool for FOG abatement

FSE manager's responsibility is about getting good food onto the customer's table







... frequently not an effective tool for FOG abatement

Employee turnover rate is high and Kitchen BMP training becomes very time consuming (16-200%)



Annual Employee Turnover Rates (%)

Restaurants-and-Accommodations Sector vs. Total Private Sector







- Scrape pots and pans prior to washing.
- Do not pour, scrape, or otherwise dispose of fats, oils, or grease into the sink or drains
- Collect fryer oil and store in barrels for recycling.
- Do not put food (including liquid food) including milk shake syrups, batters, and gravy down the drain
- Use strainers on sinks and floor drains to prevent solid material from entering the sewer.
- Post "NO GREASE" signs near sinks and drains.



- Train all kitchen staff in best management practices for grease disposal and the impacts of grease accumulation in the sewer.
- Provide regular refresher training/discussion for proper disposal of fats, oils, and grease for all employees.
- Inspect grease abatement devices/interceptors after pumping to ensure adequate cleaning was performed.

- Dump mop water only to drains connected to your grease treatment system.
- Use absorbents to soak up spills containing fats, oils, and grease.



Kitchen BMPs

Frequently Not An Effective Tool For FOG Abatement

FSE that does not own/pay for grease trap maintenance has no incentive to follow BMP







• CASE STUDY: Despite inspections, and training on kitchen BMPs and interceptor maintenance . . . just 3 months after public line cleaning:

FOG buildup at FSE connections

Restrictions in the pipe

FOG on top of pipe indicates blockage







Inspections



- INITIAL FSE INSPECTION SETTING UP FOG PROGRAM ESTIMATE 3-4 HR/FSE
- EFFICIENT FOG PROGRAM MAINTENANCE INSPECTIONS ESTIMATE 1 HR/FSE
- INCLUDE TRAVEL TIME
- INCLUDE INSPECTION DATA ENTRY TIME
- INCLUDE PUMP-OUT DATA REVIEW TIME
- ESTIMATE THAT 10% FSES WILL NEED RE-INSPECTION MORE FREQUENTLY THAN ONCE PER YEAR



SO, GET OUT OF THE KITCHEN?

Is There Nothing Good About BMP's?






The GOOD ABOUT Kitchen BMPs ?

- Are One of the Tools in the Toolbox
- Are the First Line Of Defense Against FOG
- Will Not Solve FOG Problems But Can Still Help Control Costs
- Have the Most Available Resources to Download and Print Especially Resources for FSE Employees
- Can Help Perpetuate a Cultural Consciousness About FOG Problems





THE RIGHT WAY

CORRECTO 올바른 방법

Wipe dishes, pots, pans and cooking equipment before rinsing or washing.

Limpie con papel los platos, ollas, sartenes y equipo de cocina antes de enjuagarlos o lavarlos.

접시, 냄비, 팬, 조리 도구를 물로 헹구거나 씻기 전에 먼저 찌꺼기를 닦아냅니다.

Put food waste into food recycling container or trash.

Coloque los restos de comida en contenedores para reciclar alimentos o en la basura.

음식물 찌꺼기는 음식물 재활용 용기나 쓰레기통에 넣습니다.

Collect waste oil and store for recycling. Clean up spills immediately.

Junte el aceite usado y guárdelo para reciclar. Limpie los derrames inmediatamente.

사용한 기름은 모아뒀다가 수거해 가도록 합니다. 기름이 흘렀을 때는 즉시 닦습니다.

THE WRONG WAY

INCORRECTO 잘못된 방법

Do not pour cooking residue into the drain.

No arroje por el desagüe los residuos de alimentos cocinados.

조리하고 남은 찌꺼기를 배수구에 붓지 마십시오.



Do not put food waste down the drain.

No arroje los desperdicios de alimentos por el desagüe.

음식물 찌꺼기를 배수구로 흘려보내지 마십시오.



No vierta aceite de cocina directamente en el desague.

요리용 기름을 배수구에 붓지 마십시오.







Kitchen Best Management Practices (BMPs)

Το Do	Why?	Benefits
Train employees	Employees help eliminate grease blockages and sewer spills	Avoid sewer blockage, fines and environmental issues
Display "No Grease" informa- tion in the workplace	Reminds employees to reduce FOG in the kitchen	Minimize grease discharge; reduce cleaning and disposal costs
Scrape or dry-wipe excess food and grease from cook- ware; dispose in trash	Keeps grease out of traps and interceptors	Less frequent cleaning, reduce maintenance costs
Install removable screens on all kitchen drains	Prevents food from clogging the sewer system	Reduce grease and food in traps and interceptors
Keep hot water to drains less than 140° F	Hot water dissolves grease and pushes it to the sewer pipe	Reduce costs to heat water; prevent FOG "pass through" in grease interceptors
Don't overfill FOG containers	Prevents slippery FOG spills	Employee safety
Pour cooking grease, liquid oil into covered grease container	Reduces amount of grease discharged to sewer	Reduce grease waste and garbage fees
Use Spill Kits	Absorb spilled grease and oil	Reduce material in grease traps and interceptors
Routinely clean kitchen exhaust system filters/hoods	Grease and oil in kitchen exhaust system can accumulate on the roof and may enter the stormdrain system when it rains	Protect local waterways. Avoid penalties or fines for polluting water
		Ì

CleanWater Services



Las mejores prácticas de administración para la cocina

Para hacer	¿Por qué?	Beneficios
Entrene a los empleados	Los empleados ayudan a eliminar los bloqueos causados por la grasa y los derrames en las alcantarillas	Los restaurantes se evitarán multas al no bioquear el alcantarillado y evitan problemas ambientales
Coloque la información de "No se permite grasa" en el lugar de trabajo	Les recueda a los empleandos a reducir la cantidad de manteca, aceite y grasa en la cocina	Reduce la descarga de grasa e los res- taurantes; reduce el costo de limpieza y de desecho
Raspe o limpie en seco el exceso de comida y la grasa solidificada de los sartenes; tirela en la basura	Mantiene la grasa fuera de los colectores e interceptores	Menos grasa en los colectores significa tener que limpiar menos frecuente, lo cual reduce los costos de mantenimiento
Instale mallas removibles en todos los desagües de la cocina	Preveine que las particulas de comida entren y bloqueen el sistema de alcan- tarillado	Reduce la cantidad de grasa y de material de comida en los colectores e interceptores
Use agua caliente en los desagües a menos de 140° F	El agua a una temperatura más caliente de 140°F disuelve la grasa, causando que se solidifique después en la tuberia del alcantarillado	Reduce los contros de calentar agua; previene que la manteca, aceite y grasa "pase a través" de los intercep- tores para grasa
No rebalse los envases de FOG (manteca, aceite y grasa)	Preveine derrames resbalosos de manteca, aceite y grasa	Seguridad de los empleados
Vierta la grasa para concinar y el aceite liquido en un recipiente para grasa y cúbralo	Reduce la cantidad de grasa que es descargada al alcantanilado	Los restaurantes reducen el desper- dicio de grasa y el costo potencial de transportar basura
Use los estuchess para los derrames	Los materiales absorbentes la grasa y el aceite derramado	Reduce la cantidad de material en los coletores e interceptores de grasa
De manera rutinaria, limpie los filtros y campanas del systema de ventilación de la cocina. (Vacie el aqua que usó para limpiar las campanas y los filtros en un desagüe conectado a un interceptor de grasa, o haga que le limpien las campa- nas a través de un servicio profesional.)	Si la grasa y el aceite se escapan a través del sistema de ventilación de la cocina, pueden acumularse en el techo y eventualmente entrar al sistema de alcantarillado de aguas pluviales	Se protege la calidad del agua en las vias fluviales locales. Evita multas o infracciones debido a las regulaciones sobre aguas pluviales

www.cleanwaterservices.org • (503) 681-3600







FOG Public Outreach, and Printed Material



Outreach for residential FOG reduction

- "Freeze-the-Grease" kits
- Web information for homeowners
- Quarterly newsletter for customers
- Videos

Outreach for commercial FOG generators

- Web information
- FOG booklet
- Kitchen poster
- Videos

Insert WSA BMP link





Freeze the Grease

FOG Printed Material

Es la Ley

Es en contra de la ley desechar las mantecas, aceites y grasas en el sistema de drenaje público. FOG es una causa principal de las obstrucciones e inundaciones, y el origen se encuentra frecuentemente en los establecimientos de servicios de comidas. Debido a que las inundaciones en los drenajes causan daño a la salud del público y al medio ambiente, las leyes federales, estatales y locales exigen el control FOG para proteger a las personas y a los recursos hidráulicos.



El Acto de Agua Limpia 40 CFR 403 y la ley prohíben la obstrucción de la corriente normal del agua en cualquier línea de drenaje público. La Ordenanza #27 de los Servicios de Agua Limpia prohíbe la descarga de substancias que puedan obstruir la corriente normal del drenaje sanitario. La Resolución y Orden Número 98-26 (04-70) exigen la instalación y mantenimiento de una trampa y/o interceptor de grasa para prevenir que penetren las mantecas, aceites y grasas en el sistema de recolección del drenaje. La Sección 5.02.5,f exigen que haya registros de reportes y de su cumplimiento.

Para más informacion sobre estas leyes, por favor visite www.cleanwaterservices.org

Patrocinado por los Servicios de Agua Limpia y las Ciudades Participantes



FOG (singlas en ingles) Mantecas, Aceites & Grasas

El desecho apropiado de las mantecas, aceites y grasas protege su negocio, la salud pública y el medio ambiente.





Todos los establecimientos de servicios de comida que están conectados a los drenajes públicos deben contar con un sistema aprobado de grasas como las trampas, interceptores y otros artefactos para colectar grasas y así prevenir que entren las mantecas, aceites y grasas además de los desechos de la comida a la tubería del drenaje.

Los sistemas de grasa deben ser correctamente instalados, limpiados y cuidados. Y, deben ser inspeccionados por los Servicios de Agua Limpia o empleados de la ciudad.

Mantecas, Aceites y Grasas (FOG)

FOG es un problema para los establecimientos de servicio de comida. La acumulación de FOG puede tapar la tubería de los drenajes y causar inundaciones muy costosas. FOG es malo para los negocios y malo para la salud pública y el medio ambiente.

Es más fácil prevenir los problemas causados por FOG que el tener que limpiar las inundaciones. Además es más barato, cuando uno se pone a considerar el costo

de la limpieza, el cierre del negocio o su interrupción, el contrato del servicio de limpieza de las tuberías del drenaje, las reparaciones de construcción, el reemplazo del equipo, los reclamos de los seguros, el reembolso a los vecinos que hayan recibido daños, castigos, y otros pagos por daños al sistema del drenaje público.

Practicas del Mejor Manejo (BMP siglas en ingles)

Estas son las mejores maneras de tener cuidado con los artefactos de remoción de la grasa.

QUE HACER

- Limpiar las campanas de ventilación y los filtros con frecuencia (primero limpiarlos con toallas de papel)
- Proteger los drenajes con una pantalla
- Prevenir los derrames de mantecas, aceites y grasas
- Raspar en seco lo sobrante y ponerlo en un bote de basura, no en el lavadero
- Vaciar los botes de la basura antes de que se derramen
- Limpiar y cubrir el área de reciclaje exterior
- Llevar récords de la limpieza, las inspecciones y el servicio
 Entrenar a los empleados sobre las Prácticas del Mejor Mantenimiento para que FOG
- no llegue a las tuberías del drenaje
- Contratar un servicio para la limpieza de la grasa (Vea la lista de Servicios de Limpieza Preferidos)

QUE NO HACER

- No conecte los lavadores de platos al sistema de grasas
- No coloque químicos antigrasas en el sistema (empujan FOG a los drenajes)
- No lave el quipo de cocina afuera del establecimiento
- No permita que FOG penetre en los drenajes pluviales, en los retenes del agua, etc.
- No deseche incorrectamente las mantecas, aceites y grasas (FOG)

Programa de Servicios de Limpieza Preferidos

El Programa de Servicios de Limpieza Preferidos es un registro de las compañías que sacan y limpian las trampas y los interceptores de la grasa y que, además, cumplen con los procedimientos estándares dando un servicio consistente y reduciendo FOG. Para conocer mejor el Programa de Servicios de Limpieza Preferidos y saber más sobre las Prácticas del Mejor Manejo, por favor visite



www.cleanwaterservices.org/PreferredPumperProgram

Se reduce el número de empleados y el tiempo cuando se usan de manera consistente las Prácticas del Mejor Manejo. La frecuencia de la limpieza cambia conforme a la clase de comidas que se preparen, el tipo del sistema, y la forma en que se limpie y se cuide. La limpieza cada semana previene los olores, y es más fácil limpiar un sistema que se cuida frecuentemente que tener que enfrentarse a semanas o meses de acumulamiento FOG y los olores respectivos. Llame a su inspector FOG para pedirie consejos sobre el programa de limpieza o visite el sitio WEB del Programa de Servicios de Limpieza Preferidos para entrenamiento y más información (www.cleanwaterservices.org/PreferredPumperProgram)

Inspección de establecimientos del servicio de comidas

Todos los establecimientos del servicio de comidas los visitará un inspector para inspeccionar el sistema de grasas y dar un reporte sobre su condición. La gráfica de abajo hace una lista de las clases y la respuesta requerida. Se aprecian de antemano su cooperación y su cumplimiento. Atención: Su empleados deberán abrir el sistema de grasas al inspector.

Clase de Inspección Respuesta del Establecimiento

xcelente o Buena	Continuar con la limpieza y el cuidado apropiados.		
nsuficiente	Aumentar la frecuencia de la limpieza y el cuidado. El inspector podría volver a inspeccionar.		
obre	Se le da al establecimiento un Aviso de incumplimiento con la lista de acciones requeridas de corrección, la fecha en que se deben hacer para compitara las aciones de corrección, y para noutificar al inspector que haga una nueva inspección. De no cumplir con esto se podrían recibir castigos mometarios (hasta \$2,500 por dia) o se podría clausurar el negocio		

https://cleanwaterservices.org/for-business-industry/fats-oils-grease-program/







Also available in the following languages:

- Spanish (Español) 1
- Chinese (Simplified) 1
- Chinese (Traditional) 1
- Vietnamese 🗄
- 🛛 Korean 🗄

FOG Public Outreach



The label might say "flushable," but disposable wipes and other products are clogging our sewer lines and damaging pumps and other equipment.

Not only are these problems expensive to fix, they can also cause raw sewage overflows into homes, businesses and local waterways. So, think trash, not toilets! To learn more, including how to get rid of things you no longer want or need, visit us on the Web at http://www.kingcounty.gov/wtd or call the Wastewater Treatment Division at 206-477-5371 or 711 TTY.

King County Department of Natural Resource

Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division



FOG Public Outreach







Pete the Plumber Shares FOG Tips for Restaurants



Pete the Plumber's Tips on Dealing with Fats, Oils, and Grease







Pete the Plumber's Thoughts on Wipes



So, What Takes the Place of BMPs? Connect all fixtures and drains in the food/beverage service areas to FOG pretreatment system

Size FOG pretreatment system to the FOG generator's food/beverage operation

Effective FOG pretreatment system includes maintenance prior to unacceptable FOG bypass







Ed Gilmore

11 years Restaurant Owner

20 years, Source Control Specialist, Clackamas County, Oregon Industrial Pretreatment, FOG, P2 and Septage programs

Currently Trainer, Western States Alliance, PPRC

Bachelor of Science, Biochemistry, Portland State University











Poll Question

FSE Effective FOG Pretreatment

Effective Pretreatment

All fixtures and drains (F&D) from the food/beverage service areas shall be connected to an appropriately sized grease interceptor.

"An appropriately sized grease interceptor is sized for the food service operation and then the flow rate."





Ineffective Pretreatment for FOG





USDA Rural Development U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

All Food/Beverage Service Area Fixtures & Drains Protected









35337

Sizing and Selection of Grease Interceptors

A Two-Step Process

Discharge Fixture Units (DFU's)

DRAINAGE FIXTURE UNIT VALUES (DFU)

Sink Commercial 1½ inch drain	3 Units
Sink Commercial 2- inch drain	3 Units
Sink Bar 2 - inch drain	2 Units
Service or Mop Basin	3 Units
Dishwasher 2 - inch drain	4 Units
Floor Drain	2 Units
Food Waste Disposer	3 Units





Delete term: Grease Trap Add term: Hydromechanical Grease Interceptor (HGI)

Add sizing method for HGI:

Table 10-2 – 1015.1

Table 10-2 Hydromechanical Grease Interceptor (HGI) Sizing Chart*			
DFU	HGI FLow (gpm)		
8	20		
10	25		
13	35		
20	50		
35	75		
172	100		
216	150		
342	200		
428	250		
576	350		
720	500		

*Based on intermittent potentially full flow in drainage lines.

Delete Appendix H Add new sizing method for Gravity Grease Interceptors (GGI):

Table 10-3 Gravity Grease Interceptor Sizing			
DFUs (1) 8 21 (3) 35 90 (3) 172 216 307 (3) 342 428 576 720 2112 2640	Interceptor Volume (2) 500 gallons 750 gallons 1,000 gallons 1,250 gallons 1,500 gallons 2,000 gallons 2,500 gallons 3,000 gallons 4,000 gallons 5,000 gallons 7,500 gallons 10,000 gallons		

Notes

(1) The maximum allowable DFUs plumbed to the kitchen drain lines that will be connected to the grease interceptor.

(2) This size is based on: the DFUs, the pipe size from this code; Table 7-5; Useful Tables for flow in half-full pipes (ref: *Mohinder Nayyar Piping Handbook*, 3rd Edition, 1992).

(3) Based on 30-minute retention time (ref.: Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. Small and Decentralized Wastewater Management Systems, 3rd Ed. 1998). Rounded up to nominal interceptor volume.





International Plumbing Code (2015 and 2018)

- 1003.3.6 (2015 IPC) and 1003.6.7 (2018 IPC)
- Gravity grease interceptors and gravity grease interceptors with fats, oils, and greases disposal systems. The required capacity of gravity grease interceptors and gravity grease interceptors with fats, oils, and greases disposal systems shall be determined by multiplying the peak drain flow into the interceptor in gallons per minute by a retention time of 30 minutes.

SIZING AND SELECTION OF GREASE INTERCEPTORS

Plumbi Engineeri Desi Handbo	Kennedy/Jenks Consultants 200 S.W. Market Street, Suite 500 Portland, Oregon 97201 503-295-4911 FAX: 503-295-4901
Plumbing Componen and Equipment	Brown Grease Supply Study 16 February 2011
QAS	Prepared for Clean Water Services 2550 SW Hillsboro Highway Hillsboro, OR 97123 K/J Project No. 1091014.10



ng ng gn ok

S

Case Studies Reveal FOG Loading



Restaurant Type	Grease Production Values	Examples	
Low Grease	🚯 0.005 lbs (2.268 g) / meal (no flatware)	Sandwich Shop, Convenience Store, Bar, Sushi Bar, Delicatessen,	
Production	0.0065 lbs (2.948 g) / meal (with flatware)	Snack Bar, Frozen Yogurt, Hotel Breakfast Bar, Residential	
Medium Grease Production	O.025 lbs (11.340 g)/ meal (no flatware)	Coffee House, Pizza, Grocery Store (no fryer), Ice Cream Parlor, Fast Food, Greek, Indian, Low Grease Output FSE (w/fryer)	
	O.0325 lbs (14.742 g) / meal(with flatware)		
High Grease Production	€ 0.035 lbs (15.876 g) / meal (no flatware)	Cafeteria, Family Restaurant, Italian, Steak House, Bakery, Chinese,	
	0.0455 lbs (20.638 g) / meal (with flatware)	Buffet, Mexican, Seafood, Fried Chicken, Grocery Store (w/fryer)	





EXAMPLE 1: ITALIAN, WITH FRYER, WITH FLATWARE

1 0 3

Grease Production Factor would be 0.0455 lbs. per meal

		without	without	with	with
		Fryer	fryer	fryer	fryer
		without	with	without	with
		flatware	flatware	flatware	flatware
e	Menu Grease Factor ->	A	В	C	D
	Bakery	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Bar and Grille	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Barbeque	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Breakfast Bar - Hotel	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Buffet	0.035	0.0455	0.058	0.075
	Burger and fries, fast food	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Cafeteria	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Caterer	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Chinese	0.035	0.0455	0.058	0.075
	Coffee shop	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Convenience Store	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Deep fried Chicken / seafood	0.035	0.0455	0.058	0.075
	Deli	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Family Restaurant	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Frozen Yogurt	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Greek	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Grocery Bakery	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Grocery Deli	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Grocery Meat Department	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Ice Cream	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Indian	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Italian	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Mexican, fast food	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Mexican, full fare	0.035	0.0455	0.058	0.075
	Pizza	0.025	0.0325	0.035	0.0455
	Religious Institution	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Sandwich shop	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Snack Bar	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
	Steak and seafood	0.035	0.0455	0.058	0.075
	Sushi	0.005	0.0065	0.025	0.0325
_					

Categories are threatening...

?????

What do you mean I'm a "high grease producer", I don't produce any grease?

Table 8-3 Example Grease Production Values for Restaurants			
Restaurant Type	Grease Production Values	Examples	
Low grease producer	0.005 lbs (2.268 g)/meal (no flatware)	Elementary cafeteria, grocery meat department, hotel	
	0.0065 lbs (2.948 g)/meal (with flatware)	breakfast bar, sub shop, sushi, take-and-bake pizza	
Medium grease producer	0.025 lbs (11.340 g)/meal (no flatware)	Cafe, coffee shop, convenience store, grocery deli, Greek,	
	0.0325 lbs (14.742 g)/meal (with flatware)	Indian, Japanese, Korean, Thai, Vietnamese	
High grease producer	0.035 lbs (15.876 g)/meal (no flatware)	Full-fare family, fast-food hamburger, hamburger bar and	
	0.0455 lbs (20.638 g)/meal (with flatware)	grill, German, Italian, fast-food Mexican	
Very high grease producer	0.058 lbs (26.308 g)/meal (no flatware)	Full-fare BBQ, fast-food fried chicken, full-fare Mexican,	
	0.075 lbs (34.019 g)/meal (with flatware)	steak and seafood, Chinese, Hawaiian	





APPLICATION OF GREASE PRODUCTION SELECTION METHOD

Example 1: Italian, with Fryer, with Flatware

Step 2: Calculate Grease Production





ALL Fixtures C onnected

19

10 10























High-Capacity Hydromechanical 2006 - 2018











High-Capacity Hydromechanical Grease Interceptors Emerge...







How much FOG + Solids in a 1000 gal. GGI?



(FOG depth) / (total water column) x (total gallons) = gallons of FOG

(125 Gallons of FOG) x (7.3 lbs per gal.) = **912 lbs**









measurements calculated





"When interceptor reaches 25% FOG/solids by volume, it's at capacity, and begins bypassing"







HGI EFFICIENCY TESTING RESULTS / FOG STORAGE CAPACITY

25% Rule applied to HGIs can cost FSEs excessive pump-out costs



HGI Testing to Certify Performance

- Temperature b etween 150° F and 160° F
- 1 lb. lard to 10 gal. water
- 2-minute run duration
- Lard from skim tank dewatered and weighed



PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

SESSION 3

- YOUR EXISTING FOG PROGRAM
- ESTABLISHING LEGAL AUTHORITY
- STAKEHOLDERS
- FOG TRIAGE
- FOG MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
- FSE EFFECTIVE FOG PRETREATMENT

SESSION 4

- FOG AND WATER SEPARATION
- GREASE REMOVAL DEVICES (GRD)
- FSE INSPECTIONS
- PREFERRED PUMPER PROGRAMS


USDA Rural Development

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CONTACTS:

Clayton Brown (206) 352-2050 ext. 109 E-mail: <u>cbrown@pprc.org</u>

Ed Gilmore (206) 352-2050 ext. 108 E-mail: <u>egilmore@pprc.org</u>

Ken Grimm (206) 352-2050 ext. 102 E-mail: <u>kgrimm@pprc.org</u>

Patrick Bryan (559) 940-5270 E-mail: <u>pbryan@pprc.org</u>

David James (512) 497-7826 E-mail: <u>djames@pprc.org</u>

Jean Waters (402) 991-3278 E-mail: jwaters@pprc.org

Jude Brown E-mail: <u>Jbrown@pprc.org</u> Frances Gilliland (805) 705-1686 E-mail: <u>fgilliland@pprc.org</u>

THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING SESSION 3 USDA FOG PRETREATMENT TRAINING

RAPID RESPONSE --

https://pprc.org/rapid-response/

PPRC provides free and well-researched answers to specific questions about pollution prevention, with thorough and unbiased answers to inform decision making.



End of Session 3

See you next session!